



Table of Contents

1. Introduction	1-1
1.1 THE NASSAU HUB STUDY OVERVIEW	1-1
1.2 BACKGROUND	1-2
1.3 THE NASSAU HUB STUDY AREA	1-2
1.3.1 Primary Study Area	1-2
1.3.2 Regional Study Area	1-2
2. Existing Conditions	2-1
2.1 HISTORIC DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS	2-1
2.2 LAND USE	2-2
2.3 SOCIOECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND TRENDS	2-6
2.3.1 Population	2-6
2.3.2 Population Density	2-7
2.3.3 Employment	2-9
2.3.4 Healthcare and Education	2-11
2.3.5 Commercial Development	2-12
2.4 TRANSPORTATION NETWORK	2-12
2.4.1 Roadway Network	2-12
2.4.2 Transit Network	2-14
2.5 TRAVEL PATTERNS	2-20
2.5.1 Travel Patterns to the Study Area by Direction	2-20
2.5.2 External and Internal Travel Patterns of the Study Area	2-23
2.6 TRANSPORTATION LIMITATIONS	2-24
2.6.1 Land Use	2-24
2.6.2 Roadway Congestion	2-24
2.6.3 Planned or Committed Roadway Improvements	2-31
2.6.4 Transit Network Limitations	2-31
3. Problem Statement, Purpose and Need, Goals and Objectives	3-1
3.1 PROBLEM STATEMENT	3-1
3.2 PURPOSE OF THE ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS	3-6
3.3 NEEDS IN THE STUDY AREA	3-7
3.4 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	3-8
4. Long-List Alternatives Considered and Screened	4-1
4.1 PRELIMINARY LONG-LIST ALTERNATIVES	4-1
4.1.1 Activity Centers	4-1
4.1.2 Primary Alignment Alternatives	4-3
4.2 SCREENING PROCESS OVERVIEW	4-10
4.3 FATAL-FLAW SCREENING	4-11
4.3.1 Physical and Operational Capacity to Accommodate Route Alignment	4-12
4.3.2 Serving Mobility Needs Efficiently	4-13
4.3.3 Serving Essential Attractors and Generators	4-14
4.3.4 Integration with the Community	4-14
4.4 FATAL-FLAW SCREENING RESULTS	4-15



5.	Refined Long-List Alternatives Considered and Screened	5-1
5.1	REFINED LONG-LIST ALTERNATIVES	5-1
5.1.1	Refined Long-List Alternative 1	5-1
5.1.2	Refined Long-List Alternative 2	5-3
5.1.3	Refined Long-List Alternative 3	5-5
5.1.4	Refined Long-List Alternative 4	5-7
5.1.5	Refined Long-List Alternative 5	5-9
5.1.6	Refined Long-List Alternative 6	5-11
5.1.7	Refined Long-List Alternative 7	5-13
5.1.8	Refined Long-List Alternative 8	5-15
5.2	REFINED LONG-LIST ALTERNATIVES SCREENING	5-17
5.2.1	Relative Strength of Ridership	5-19
5.2.2	Trips per Track/Lane Mile	5-19
5.2.3	Trips per Annual Vehicle Mile	5-23
5.2.4	Number of Transfers Between Activity Centers	5-23
5.2.5	Representative Travel Times	5-23
5.2.6	Number of Essential Activity Centers Served	5-24
5.3	REFINED LONG-LIST ALTERNATIVES SCREENING RESULTS	5-24
5.4	TRANSIT TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT	5-24
5.4.1	Potential Transit Technologies	5-25
5.4.2	Transit Technology Screening	5-29
5.5	RECOMMENDATIONS	5-34
6.	Physical Characteristics and Improvements.....	6-1
6.1	ALIGNMENT AND STATIONS	6-1
6.1.1	Alternative 2 Modern Streetcar	6-1
6.1.2	Alternative 3 Modern Streetcar	6-6
6.1.3	Alternative 2A BRT/Premium Bus	6-8
6.1.4	Alternative 3A BRT/Premium Bus	6-15
6.2	VEHICLE BASE/MAINTENANCE FACILITY	6-17
6.2.1	Vehicle Base Function	6-18
6.2.2	Vehicle Base Layout	6-18
6.2.3	Vehicle Shop	6-18
6.2.4	Car Wash	6-19
6.2.5	Potential Vehicle Base Locations	6-19
7.	Land Use and Development.....	7-1
7.1	OVERVIEW	7-1
7.2	LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT	7-2
7.2.1	Village of Mineola	7-2
7.2.2	Village of Hempstead	7-3
7.2.3	Town of North Hempstead – Hamlet of Carle Place	7-5
7.2.4	Source Mall, Roosevelt Field, Nassau Community College	7-6
7.2.5	Nassau Veterans Memorial Coliseum and Hofstra University	7-7
7.2.6	Hempstead Turnpike	7-8
7.3	CONCLUSIONS	7-8
8.	Operating Plans	8-1
8.1	MODERN STREETCAR – ALTERNATIVES 2 AND 3	8-1

8.1.1	Hours of Service and Service Frequency	8-1
8.1.2	Operating Policies.....	8-1
8.1.3	Running Time Estimates.....	8-2
8.1.4	Fleet Size Requirements	8-4
8.1.5	Operating Statistics	8-4
8.2	BRT/PREMIUM BUS – ALTERNATIVES 2A AND 3A.....	8-7
8.2.1	Hours of Service and Service Frequency	8-7
8.2.2	Operating Policies.....	8-7
8.2.3	Running Time Estimates.....	8-7
8.2.4	Fleet Size Requirements	8-9
8.2.5	Operating Statistics	8-9
9.	Ridership	9-1
9.1	OVERVIEW.....	9-1
9.2	FORECASTING METHODOLOGY.....	9-1
9.2.1	Transit Network Development.....	9-1
9.2.2	Transit Network/Travel Speed Validation	9-2
9.2.3	Refined Zone System in Nassau Hub Area.....	9-2
9.2.4	Nassau Hub On-Board Survey Processing.....	9-2
9.2.5	Preparation of Trip Tables for Survey-Based Assignments.....	9-4
9.2.6	Transit Path-Building/Assignment Parameters	9-4
9.2.7	Survey Assignment Validation	9-5
9.3	EVALUATION OF NASSAU HUB ALTERNATIVES	9-6
9.4	YEAR 2035 ALTERNATIVE DEFINITIONS.....	9-8
9.5	RIDERSHIP RESULTS	9-9
10.	Capital Cost	10-1
10.1	COST ESTIMATE STRUCTURE AND DEVELOPMENT	10-1
10.2	COST CATEGORIES	10-1
10.2.1	Standard Cost Categories	10-1
10.2.2	Category Detail.....	10-1
10.3	QUANTITY OF MATERIALS.....	10-3
10.3.1	Modern Streetcar Alternatives 2 and 3	10-3
10.3.2	BRT/Premium Bus Alternatives 2A and 3A	10-7
10.4	UNIT COST DATA SOURCES.....	10-11
10.5	CONTINGENCIES AND FINANCE CHARGES	10-12
10.5.1	Allocated Contingencies.....	10-12
10.5.2	Unallocated Contingencies.....	10-12
10.5.3	Professional Services.....	10-12
10.5.4	Finance Charges	10-13
10.6	CAPITAL COSTS (2012 DOLLARS).....	10-13
11.	Operating and Maintenance Costs	11-1
11.1	OPERATING AND MAINTENANCE COST ESTIMATING APPROACH.....	11-1
11.1.1	Modern Streetcar O&M Cost Model Development.....	11-2
11.1.2	BRT/Premium Bus O&M Cost Model Development.....	11-3
11.2	O&M COST METHODOLOGY	11-3
11.2.1	Key Supply Variables.....	11-3
11.2.2	Data Assembled.....	11-4



11.2.3	Expense Line Items and Unit Costs.....	11-4
11.2.4	Variable Quantities for Modern Streetcar Alternatives 2 and 3	11-7
11.2.5	Variable Quantities for BRT/Premium Bus Alternatives 2A and 3A.....	11-7
11.3	O&M COST RESULTS	11-8
12.	Environmental Screening.....	12-1
12.1	LAND USE AND NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER	12-2
12.2	CONSISTENCY WITH PUBLIC POLICY AND PLANS	12-3
12.3	SOCIOECONOMICS/ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE.....	12-4
12.4	TRANSPORTATION	12-6
12.5	AIR QUALITY.....	12-7
12.6	NOISE AND VIBRATION.....	12-7
12.7	HAZARDOUS MATERIALS	12-8
12.8	OPEN SPACE AND RECREATIONAL RESOURCES	12-8
12.9	CULTURAL RESOURCES	12-9
12.10	SECTION 4(f).....	12-10
12.11	FLOODPLAINS	12-10
12.12	WATER QUALITY.....	12-10
12.13	ECOLOGY/ENDANGERED SPECIES.....	12-11
12.14	VISUAL RESOURCES	12-12
13.	Public and Agency Involvement	13-1
13.1	TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETINGS	13-1
13.1.1	TAC Meeting 1.....	13-2
13.1.2	TAC Meeting 2.....	13-2
13.1.3	TAC Meeting 3.....	13-3
13.1.4	TAC Meeting 4.....	13-4
13.1.5	TAC Meeting 5.....	13-4
13.2	STAKEHOLDER COMMITTEE MEETINGS	13-5
13.2.1	Stakeholder Committee Meeting 1	13-5
13.2.2	Stakeholder Committee Meeting 2	13-5
13.2.3	Stakeholder Committee Meeting 3	13-6
13.2.4	Stakeholder Committee Meeting 4	13-7
13.3	PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	13-7
13.3.1	Public Meeting 1	13-7
13.3.2	Public Meeting 2	13-8
13.3.3	Public Meeting 3	13-8
13.3.4	Public Meeting 4	13-9
13.4	ONE-ON-ONE MEETINGS	13-10
13.4.1	Meeting with Nassau Community College (6/5/12).....	13-11
13.4.2	Meeting with Renaissance Downtowns, LLC (8/13/12)	13-11
13.4.3	Meeting with Hofstra University (9/11/12)	13-12
13.4.4	Multiple One-on-One Meetings.....	13-12
13.5	WEBSITE.....	13-12
14.	Evaluation of Short-List Alternatives	14-1
14.1	SHORT-LIST ALTERNATIVES SCREENING PROCESS.....	14-1
14.2	EVALUATION RESULTS	14-4
14.3	EVALUATION RECOMMENDATION	14-7



15. Locally Preferred Alternative..... 15-1

- 15.1 PHASED LPA IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY 15-1
- 15.2 INITIAL OPERATING SEGMENT IMPLEMENTATION 15-3
 - 15.2.1 IOS Alignment and Stations 15-4
 - 15.2.2 IOS Operating Plan 15-5
 - 15.2.3 IOS Capital Costs 15-6
 - 15.2.4 IOS Operating and Maintenance Costs 15-6
- 15.3 FINANCIAL PLAN 15-7
- 15.4 NEXT STEPS..... 15-12

Appendix A: List of Participating Agencies

Appendix B: List of Stakeholder Committee Members

Appendix C: List of Technical Memoranda



Tables

Table 2-1:	Existing Land Use Summary for the Study Area.....	2-4
Table 2-2:	Office Buildings Larger than 200,000 Square Feet in the Study Area.....	2-5
Table 2-3:	Existing Surface Parking in the Study Area.....	2-5
Table 2-4:	Existing Population and Projected Population Change 2010 – 2035.....	2-6
Table 2-5:	Nassau County Net Migration by Age, 2000 – 2030.....	2-7
Table 2-6:	Existing Study Area Employment and Projected Employment Change 2010 – 2035.....	2-10
Table 2-7:	LIRR Total Weekday Boardings and Alightings at Stations within the Study Area.....	2-16
Table 2-8:	NICE Bus Service in the Study Area.....	2-17
Table 2-9:	AM Peak-Period Travel Patterns by Direction to the Study Area – 2010.....	2-23
Table 2-10:	AM Peak-Period Internal and External Trips by Mode for the Study Area – 2010.....	2-23
Table 2-11:	Overall Intersection Traffic Level of Service (2008 Existing Conditions).....	2-27
Table 4-1:	Fatal-Flaw Screening Criteria.....	4-12
Table 4-2:	Fatal-Flaw Screening Results.....	4-15
Table 5-1:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 1.....	5-3
Table 5-2:	Refined Long-List Alternative 1 Connections and Activity Centers Served.....	5-3
Table 5-3:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 2.....	5-5
Table 5-4:	Refined Long-List Alternative 2 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-5
Table 5-5:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 3.....	5-6
Table 5-6:	Refined Long-List Alternative 3 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-7
Table 5-7:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 4.....	5-8
Table 5-8:	Refined Long-List Alternative 4 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-9
Table 5-9:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 5.....	5-10
Table 5-10:	Refined Long-List Alternative 5 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-10
Table 5-11:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 6.....	5-12
Table 5-12:	Refined Long-List Alternative 6 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-12
Table 5-13:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 7.....	5-14
Table 5-14:	Refined Long-List Alternative 7 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-14
Table 5-15:	Key Characteristics of Refined Long-List Alternative 8.....	5-16
Table 5-16:	Refined Long-List Alternative 8 Connections and Activity Centers.....	5-16
Table 5-17:	Refined Long-List Alternatives Screening Evaluation Criteria and Measures.....	5-17
Table 5-18:	Matrix 1—Five Evaluation Measures Equally Weighted.....	5-20
Table 5-19:	Matrix 2—Six Evaluation Measures Equally Weighted.....	5-21
Table 5-20:	Matrix 3—Six Evaluation Measures Weighted by the Three Goals.....	5-22
Table 5-21:	Refined Long-List Alternatives Screening Results.....	5-24
Table 5-22:	Transit Technology Assessment Matrix.....	5-31
Table 6-1:	Alternative 2 Modern Streetcar Stations.....	6-5
Table 6-2:	Alternative 3 Modern Streetcar Stations.....	6-7
Table 6-3:	Alternative 2A BRT/Premium Bus Stations.....	6-14
Table 6-4:	Alternative 3A BRT/Premium Bus Stations.....	6-17
Table 7-1:	Build-Out Potential of As-of-Right Zoning / Redevelopment Potential.....	7-1
Table 7-2:	Development Potential in Downtown Mineola.....	7-3
Table 7-3:	As-of-Right Development Potential in North Hempstead – Carle Place.....	7-5

Table 7-4:	As-of-Right Redevelopment Potential for the Source Mall and Vicinity	7-6
Table 7-5:	As-of-Right Redevelopment Potential of MFM Zoning District	7-8
Table 8-1:	Service Frequencies for All Short-List Alternatives by Day of Week and Time of Day.....	8-1
Table 8-2:	Modern Streetcar Vehicle Capacity	8-2
Table 8-3:	Running Time Estimates – Alternative 2	8-3
Table 8-4:	Running Time Estimates – Alternative 3	8-4
Table 8-5:	Operating Statistics – Alternative 2	8-5
Table 8-6:	Operating Statistics – Alternative 3	8-6
Table 8-7:	BRT/Premium Bus Vehicle Capacity	8-7
Table 8-8:	Running Time Estimates – Alternative 2A	8-8
Table 8-9:	Running Time Estimates – Alternative 3A	8-9
Table 8-10:	Operating Statistics – Alternative 2A	8-10
Table 8-11:	Operating Statistics – Alternative 3A	8-10
Table 9-1:	Average Weekday Linked Trips by Time Period, Purpose and Mode of Access	9-3
Table 9-2:	AM Peak-Period (6–10 AM) Nassau County Bus Boardings by Route (Survey Boardings versus Validated Model Boardings)	9-5
Table 9-3:	Year 2035 Summary of Key Ridership Forecasting Statistics by Alternative	9-9
Table 10-1:	FTA Standard Cost Category Estimate Structure	10-2
Table 10-2:	Allocated Standard Cost Category Contingencies	10-12
Table 10-3:	Professional Services Contingencies.....	10-13
Table 10-4:	Capital Costs (2012 dollars).....	10-13
Table 11-1:	Modern Streetcar O&M Cost Categories, Associated Cost Items, and Key Supply Variables	11-4
Table 11-2:	Cost of Labor Adjustments by Metropolitan Area.....	11-5
Table 11-3:	Modern Streetcar O&M Cost Model – FY2012 Unit Costs.....	11-6
Table 11-4:	Operating Parameters of Modern Streetcar Alternatives 2 and 3.....	11-7
Table 11-5:	Operating Parameters of BRT/Premium Bus Alternatives 2A and 3A	11-7
Table 11-6:	Annual O&M Cost Summary by Alternative.....	11-8
Table 12-1:	Summary of Environmental Screening Findings	12-1
Table 13-1:	Technical Advisory Committee Membership	13-1
Table 14-1:	Short-List Alternatives Screening Criteria.....	14-2
Table 14-2:	Short-List Alternatives Screening Results	14-5
Table 15-1:	Summary of LPA’s Key Characteristics	15-1
Table 15-2:	Summary of IOS’ Key Characteristics.....	15-4
Table 15-3:	IOS Stations	15-5
Table 15-4:	IOS Service Frequencies.....	15-5
Table 15-5:	IOS Run Times	15-6
Table 15-6:	IOS Order-of-Magnitude Capital Costs (2012 dollars).....	15-6
Table 15-7:	IOS Operating Parameters	15-7
Table 15-8:	Potential Funding and Financing Sources and Uses	15-8



Figures

Figure 1-1:	AA/EIS Process Flow Chart	1-2
Figure 1-2:	Study Area	1-3
Figure 1-3:	Regional Study Area	1-4
Figure 2-1:	Existing Land Use in the Study Area.....	2-3
Figure 2-2:	Existing Population Density in the Study Area.....	2-8
Figure 2-3:	Existing Housing Unit Density in the Study Area	2-9
Figure 2-4:	Existing Employment Density in the Study Area	2-11
Figure 2-5:	Existing Roadways in the Study Area.....	2-13
Figure 2-6:	Existing Bus and Rail Service in the Study Area.....	2-15
Figure 2-7:	NICE Bus Service in Study Area - Overview	2-18
Figure 2-8:	NICE Bus Service in Study Area - Detail.....	2-19
Figure 2-9:	Total AM Peak-Period Vehicle Trips to Study Area (“Trips shed”).....	2-21
Figure 2-10:	Total AM Peak-Period Transit Trips to the Study Area (“Trips shed”)	2-22
Figure 2-11:	Overall Intersection Traffic Levels of Service: 2008 Existing Conditions-Weekday AM Peak Period	2-28
Figure 2-12:	Overall Intersection Traffic Levels of Service: 2008 Existing Conditions-Weekday PM Peak Period	2-29
Figure 2-13:	Overall Intersection Traffic Levels of Service: 2008 Existing Conditions-Saturday Midday	2-30
Figure 2-14:	Merrick Avenue at Hempstead Turnpike and Glen Cove Road at Old Country Road – Comparison of Peak-Hour Intersection Volumes, 2008 and 2035.....	2-31
Figure 4-1:	Map of Activity Centers.....	4-2
Figure 4-2:	Alternative 1: Mineola via 2nd Street/Voice Road to Hub Loop.....	4-3
Figure 4-3:	Alternative 2: New Port Jefferson Branch Station to Hub Area and Hempstead to NuHealth via Jackson Street, Westbury Boulevard, Roosevelt Boulevard, Earle Ovington Boulevard, and Hempstead Turnpike.....	4-4
Figure 4-4:	Alternative 3: Mineola via 2 nd Street/Voice Road/Garden City Secondary to Hub Area and NuHealth Medical Spine	4-4
Figure 4-5:	Alternative 4: New Port Jefferson Branch Station to Hub Area and Hempstead to.....	4-5
Figure 4-6:	Alternative 5: New Port Jefferson Branch Station to Hub Area and NuHealth Medical Center Spine.....	4-5
Figure 4-7:	Alternative 6: New Port Jefferson Branch Station to Hub Loop.....	4-6
Figure 4-8:	Alternative 7: Mineola to Hub Area and NuHealth and Hempstead to NuHealth Spine	4-6
Figure 4-9:	Alternative 8: Mineola to Nassau Hub Area Loop.....	4-7
Figure 4-10:	Alternative 9: Mineola to Hub Area via Garden City Secondary and Mineola to NuHealth via Franklin Avenue, Hempstead and Hempstead Turnpike Spine	4-7
Figure 4-11:	Alternative 10: Mineola to Hub Area via Clinton Road/Garden City Secondary and Hempstead to NuHealth Spine	4-8
Figure 4-12:	Alternative 11: Mineola via Franklin Avenue/Stewart Avenue/Garden City Secondary to Hub and NuHealth Spine	4-8
Figure 4-13:	Alternative 12: Mineola via Franklin/Stewart Ave/Garden City Secondary to Hub Loop	4-9
Figure 4-14:	Alternative 13: Mineola/Hempstead via Garden City Secondary to Hub and Nu Health Spine	4-9



Figure 4-15: Alternative 14: Mineola/Hempstead via Garden City Secondary to Hub Loop (MIS Core System)4-10

Figure 4-16: Alternatives Screening Process4-11

Figure 4-17: Origin/Destination Survey Trip-Density Map4-14

Figure 5-1: Refined Long-List Alternative 1 Route.....5-2

Figure 5-2: Refined Long-List Alternative 2 Route.....5-4

Figure 5-3: Refined Long-List Alternative 3 Route.....5-6

Figure 5-4: Refined Long-List Alternative 4 Route.....5-8

Figure 5-5: Refined Long-List Alternative 5 Route.....5-10

Figure 5-6: Refined Long-List Alternative 6 Route.....5-12

Figure 5-7: Refined Long-List Alternative 7 Route.....5-14

Figure 5-8: Refined Long-List Alternative 8 Route.....5-16

Figure 6-1: Alternative 2 Modern Streetcar Alignment6-1

Figure 6-2: Alternative 3 Modern Streetcar Alignment6-6

Figure 6-3: Alternative 2A BRT/Premium Bus Alignment6-9

Figure 6-4: Alternative 3A BRT/Premium Bus Alignment6-15

Figure 7-1: Redevelopment Area for Renew Hempstead7-4

Figure 7-2: Boundary of the Mitchel Field Mixed-Use (MFM) Zoning District.....7-7

Figure 9-1: Map Illustrating Nassau Hub Traffic Analysis Zone Splits9-2

Figure 12-1: Potential Environmental Justice Areas and Zero-Auto Households12-5

Figure 13-1: Publicity for Public Meeting 113-8

Figure 13-2: Examples of Presentation Materials Used at Third Public Meeting13-9

Figure 13-3: Website13-13

Figure 15-1: Locally Preferred Alternative.....15-2

Figure 15-2: IOS Alignment15-3

